BLASIUS SERIES FOR HEAT AND MASS TRANSFER

JOHN NEWMAN

Inorganic Materials Research Division, Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, and Department of Chemical Engineering, University of California, Berkeley

(Received 29 *May* 1965 and *in rerisedform* 12 *January* 1966)

NOMENCLATURE

INTRODUCTION

DIFFERENTIAL equations describing fluid flow and mass transfer in two-dimensional boundary layers are (see, for example, Schlichting $[1]$, pp. 110-112)

$$
v_x \frac{\partial v_x}{\partial x} + v_y \frac{\partial v_x}{\partial y} = U \frac{\mathrm{d}U}{\mathrm{d}x} + v \frac{\partial^2 v_x}{\partial y^2}.
$$
 (1)

$$
\frac{\partial v_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v_y}{\partial y} = 0.
$$
 (2)

$$
v_x \frac{\partial c_i}{\partial x} + v_y \frac{\partial c_i}{\partial y} = D \frac{\partial^2 c_i}{\partial y^2}.
$$
 (3)

The boundary conditions for a constant concentration on the solid surface are

$$
c_i = c_0
$$
, $v_x = v_y = 0$ at $y = 0$.
\n $c_i = c_x$, $v_x = U(x)$ at $y = \infty$. (4)

The velocity $U(x)$ is the inner limit of the appropriate outer

(Euler) solution which describes the fluid motion outside the boundary layer.

If viscous dissipation is ignored, the same equations would apply to heat transfer in boundary layers, in which case c_i should be replaced by the temperature T and *D* replaced by the thermal diffusivity $\alpha = k/\rho \hat{C}_p$. In either case the pertinent physical properties are taken to be constant.

The specified boundary conditions on the solid surface are not completely general. Nevertheless, a constant concentration on the surface is commonly encountered in masstransfer problems as well as in the analogous heat-transfer problems and provides a useful starting point. The consideration of an arbitrary variation of concentration with position on the surface involves a higher order of complexity.

The normal component v_y of the velocity is taken to be zero even though a non-zero mass-transfer rate implies a non-zero interfacial velocity. The consequences of such an interfacial velocity have been treated by Stewart [2] for flow past a flat plate at zero incidence, by Olander [3] for a rotating disk, and by Acrivos [4] for boundary-layer mass transfer at infinite Schmidt number. In the absence of calculated results of the effect of an interfacial velocity applicable to a specific situation, the mass-transfer rate calculated for $v_y = 0$ can be corrected by a factor based on the papers cited.

Solutions for the boundary-layer problem stated in equations (1) –(4) can be obtained by a number of methods (see Schlichting $[1]$). Exact solutions have been obtained for certain restricted geometries. Approximate methods have also been developed which are less restricted but also less reliable. In many cases the validity of approximate methods is judged by a comparison with the exact solutions.

One class of exact solutions involves series expansions in terms of "universal" functions which can be tabulated. These functions are universal in the sense that they are defined so as to be independent of the specific form of the function $U(x)$. How this is done can be seen by referring ahead to equations (6), (9), (10) and (11).

The Blasius series is perhaps the simplest form of a series solution and involves Taylor series expansions in x about the stagnation point or the leading edge of the body. This procedure was suggested by Blasius in 1908. Other series, such as that of Görtler $[5]$, involve expansions in more complicated functions of x .

VELOCITY PROFILES

For symmetric. two-dimensional flow past a cylindrical surface with a rounded nose, the external velocity $U(x)$ can be expressed as a power series :

$$
U(x) = u_1 x + u_3 x^3 + u_5 x^5 + u_7 x^7 + \dots \qquad (5)
$$

Because of the assumed symmetry about a plane parallel to the undisturbed flow, only the odd powers of x are present.

The Blasius series then expresses the stream function $\psi(x, y)$ also as a power series in x:

$$
\psi = \sqrt{\left[v/u_1\right] \left\{u_1 x f_1(\eta) + 4 u_3 x^3 f_3(\eta) + 6 u_5 x^5 f_5(\eta) + 8 u_7 x^7 f_7(\eta) + 10 u_9 x^9 f_9(\eta) + 12 u_{11} x^{11} f_{11}(\eta) + \dots\right\}},
$$
(6)

where

$$
\eta = y \sqrt{(u_1/v)},\tag{7}
$$

and where ψ is related to the velocity components by the equations

$$
v_x = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}, \quad v_y = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}.
$$
 (8)

One wants to tabulate functions independent of u_1 , u_3 , etc. The first two functions, f_1 and f_3 , satisfy this criterion, but f_5, f_7 , etc.. do not. Hence, it is necessary to split up the higher order terms. For example.

$$
f_5 = g_5 + \frac{u_3^2}{u_1 u_5} h_5,
$$

\n
$$
f_7 = g_7 + \frac{u_3 u_5}{u_1 u_7} h_7 + \frac{u_3^3}{u_1^2 u_7} k_7.
$$
\n(9)

The universal functions thus are f_1, f_3, g_5, h_5 , etc., and have been calculated notably by Tifford [6]. Such tables are reproduced by several authors (Schlichting $\lceil 1 \rceil$, pp. 150-151. Curle $[7]$, p. 26). Because it is a power series in x, the Blasius series is most useful in the region near the stagnation point.

CONCENTRATION PROFILES

In a similar manner the concentration (or the temperature) can be expanded in a power series (see. for example, Schlichting [1], p. 319):

$$
\Theta(x, y) = \frac{c_i - c_0}{c_x - c_0} = \Theta_0(\eta) + \frac{u_3}{u_1} x^2 \Theta_2(\eta) + \frac{u_5}{u_1} x^4 \Theta_4(\eta) + \dots (10)
$$

The functions Θ_0 and Θ_2 are "universal" functions independent of u_1 , u_2 , etc., although they do depend on the Schmidt number. In order to form universal functions. the higher order coefficients must be broken up in a manner similar to the hydrodynamic functions | compare equations $[9]$:

$$
\Theta_4 = a_4 + \frac{u_3^2}{u_1 u_5} b_4,
$$

\n
$$
\Theta_6 = a_6 + \frac{u_3 u_5}{u_1 u_7} b_6 + \frac{u_3^3}{u_1^2 u_7} d_6.
$$

\n
$$
\Theta_8 = a_8 + \frac{u_3 u_7}{u_1 u_9} b_8 + \frac{u_5^2}{u_1 u_9} d_8 + \frac{u_3^2 u_5}{u_1^2 u_9} e_8 + \frac{u_3^4}{u_1^3 u_9} p_8.
$$

\n
$$
\Theta_{10} = a_{10} + \frac{u_3 u_9}{u_1 u_{11}} b_{10} + \frac{u_5 u_7}{u_1 u_{11}} d_{10} + \frac{u_3^4}{u_1^3 u_{11}} p_{10} + \frac{u_3^3 u_5}{u_1^2 u_{11}} p_{10} + \frac{u_3^3 u_5}{u_1^2 u_{11}} r_{10} + \frac{u_3^5}{u_1^4 u_{11}} s_{10}.
$$

\n(11)

The differential equations for some of the universal masstransfer, boundary-layer functions are

$$
(1/Sc)\Theta_0'' + f_1\Theta_0' = 0.
$$

\n
$$
(1/Sc)\Theta_2'' + f_1\Theta_2' - 2f_1'\Theta_2 = -12f_3\Theta_0',
$$

\n
$$
(1/Sc)\ a_4'' + f_1a_4' - 4f_1'a_4 = -30g_5\Theta_0',
$$

\n
$$
(1/Sc)\ b_4'' + f_1b_4' - 4f_1'b_4 = -12f_3\Theta_2' + 8f_3'\Theta_2
$$

\n
$$
-30h_5\Theta_0',
$$

and the corresponding boundary conditions are

$$
\Theta_0 = \Theta_2 = a_4 = b_4 = 0 \quad \text{at } \eta = 0.
$$

$$
\Theta_0 = 1, \quad \Theta_2 = a_4 = b_4 = 0 \quad \text{at } \eta = 7
$$
 (13)

The functions f_1, f_3, g_5 and h_5 appearing in equations (12) are the same universal functions defined in equations (6) and (9).

MASS-TRANSFER COEFFICIENTS

The differential equations for the nineteen universal functions were solved numerically. Since the universal masstransfer, boundary-layer functions depend upon S_c as well as on η , their tabulation could become unwieldy. The local rate of mass transfer is of interest and is given by

$$
N_{y}(x) = -D \frac{\partial c_{t}}{\partial y}\Big|_{y=0} = -D(c_{x} - c_{0}) \sqrt{\left[\frac{u_{1}}{y}\right]} \sqrt{\Theta_{0}(0)}
$$

+ $\frac{u_{3}}{u_{1}} x^{2} \Theta_{2}'(0) + \frac{u_{5}}{u_{1}} x^{4} \Theta_{4}'(0) + \frac{u_{7}}{u_{1}} x^{6} \Theta_{6}'(0)$
+ $\frac{u_{9}}{u_{1}} x^{8} \Theta_{8}'(0) + \dots \left\{\frac{-114}{u_{1}}\right\}$ (14)

The coefficients necessary for the calculation of the rate of

,nass transfer are given in Table 1. For heat transfer, the Prandtl number replaces the Schmidt number. The range of Schmidt numbers in Table 1 was selected to cover heat- and mass-transfer problems of practical interest. For heat transfer in molten metals the Prandtl number is near @Ol, for gases it is near 0.7, and for other liquids it ranges from 5 to several hundred. For mass transfer in gases the Schmidt number is near 1, and in liquids it ranges from several hundred to several thousand. Some idea of the accuracy of the numbers in Table 1 can be gained from a comparison of the results with a basic mesh size of 0.02 with those for a basic mesh size of 0.0108. These suggest that the errors of the numbers in Table 1 are less than 0.01 per cent for small Schmidt numbers (through $Sc = 1$) but increase to about 0.1 or 0.2 per cent for $Sc = 1000$.

Asymptotic forms of the coeficients for large and for small

Sc	$\Theta_0'(0)$	$\Theta_2'(0)$	$a'_4(0)$	$b'_{4}(0)$	$a'_6(0)$	$b'_6(0)$	$d'_{6}(0)$				
0.005	0.0545	0.0424	0.0492	-0.0114	0.0539	-0.0264	0.0083				
0.01	00760	0.0598	0.0704	-0.0171	0.0781	-0.0414	0-0138				
$0 - 02$	0.1054	0.0844	0.1009	-0.0260	0.1134	-0.0652	0.0228				
0.05	0.1610	0.1323	0.1619	-0.0450	0.1856	-0.1177	0.0433				
0.10	0.2195	0.1847	0.2304	-0.0675	0.2678	-0.1808	0.0682				
0.20	0.2964	0.2557	0.3250	-0.0996	0.3828	-0.2718	0.1042				
0.50	0.4334	0.3866	0.5025	-0.1613	0.6006	-0.4479	0.1741				
0.70	0.4959	0.4476	0.5859	-0.1906	0.7036	-0.5319	0.2075				
1·00	0.5705	0.5210	0.6868	-0.2262	0.8284	-0.6341	0.2482				
$2 - 00$	0.7437	0.6931	0.9246	-0.3106	1.1235	-0.8772	0.3450				
5.00	1.0435	0.9937	1.3418	-0.4595	1.6427	-1.3075	0.5169				
$10 - 00$	1.3389	1.2911	1.7556	-0.6077	2.1587	-1.7372	0.6890				
$20-00$	1.7104	1.6656	2.2772	-0.7950	$2 - 8098$	-2.2809	0.9071				
50.00	2.3529	2.3132	3.1797	-1.1196	3.9367	-3.2245	1.2863				
100.00	2.9869	2.9518	4.0698	-1.4402	5-0484	-4.1573	1.6613				
200.00	3.7855	3.7556	5.1899	-1.8441	6.4474	-5.3330	2.1340				
500.00	5.1685	5.1454	7.1265	-2.5436	8.8660	-7.3708	2.9530				
1000.00	6.5353	6.5160	9.0355	-3.2348	11.2500	-9.3864	3.7622				
$S_{\mathcal{C}}$	$a'_0(0)$	$b'_8(0)$	$d'_8(0)$	$e'_8(0)$	$p'_{8}(0)$						
0.005	0.0577	-0.0304	-0.0160	0.0339	-0.0110						
$0 - 01$	0.0846	-0.0488	-0.0263	0.0582	-0.0193						
$0 - 02$	0.1242	-0.0784	-0.0429	0.0981	-0.0329						
$0 - 05$	0.2064	-0.1443	-0.0804	0.1888	-0.0640						
0.10	0.3011	-0.2241	-0.1259	0.2993	-0.1016						
0.20	0.4345	-0.3393	-0.1919	0.4591	-0.1559						
0.50	0.6889	-0.5628	-0.3201	0.7691	-0.2610						
0.70	0.8095	-0.6696	-0.3814	0.9173	-0.3113						
$1-00$	0.9560	-0.7998	-0.4562	1.0981	-0.3726						
$2 - 00$	1.3032	-1.1096	-0.6342	1.5291	-0.5190						
$5-00$	1.9156	-1.6595	-0.9505	2.2966	-0.7803						
$10-00$	2.5249	-2.2094	-1.2670	3.0665	-1.0429						
20.00	3.2943	-2.9061	-1.6681	4.0438	-1.3768						
50.00	4.6266	-4.1162	-2.3652	5.7439	-1.9581						
100.00	5.9412	-5.3130	-3.0547	7.4269	-2.5340						
200.00	7.5956	-6.8223	-3.9244	9.5495	-3.2605						
500.00	10.4559	-9.4393	-5.4326	13-2286	– 4·5199						
1000.00	13.2752	-12.0294	-6.9254	16.8658	-5.7647						

Table 1. Dimensionless mass-transfer *coefjicients* from Blasius *series*

SHORTER COMMUNICATIONS

Sc	$u'_{10}(0)$	$b'_{10}(0)$	$d_{10}(0)$	$e'_{10}(0)$	$p'_{10}(0)$	$r'_{10}(0)$	$S_{10}(0)$
0.005	0.0527	-0.0344	-0.0383	0.0429	0.0487	-0.0657	0.0189
0.01	0.0849	-0.0561	-0.0642	0.0742	0.0849	-0.1157	0.0334
$0 - 0.2$	0.1315	-0.0911	$-.0.1065$	0.1259	0.1448	-0.1981	0.0571
$0 - 0.5$	0.2251	-0.1696	-0.2024	0.2431	0.2806	-0.3840	0.1103
0.10	0.3317	-0.2647	-0.3191	0.3855	0.4455	-0.6087	0.1744
0.20	0.4822	-0.4022	-0.4883	0.5912	0.6838	-0.9322	0.2663
0.50	0.7704	-0.6691	-0.8173	0.9902	1.1460	-1.5583	(1.4441)
0.70	0.9076	-0.7969	-0.9749	1.1812	1.3673	-1.8581	0.5292
1.00	10742	-0.9526	-1.1672	14142	1.6373	-2.2241	0.6332
$2-00$	1.4699	-1.3238	-1.6257	1.9705	2.2824	3.0993	0.8822
5·00	2.1688	-1.9836	-24416	29623	3.4333	-4.6637	1:3280
$10-00$	2.8652	-2.6442	-3.2594	3.9585	4.5899	-6.2384	1.7774
$20 - 00$	3.7449	-3.4818	-4.2969	5:2241	6.0597	-8.2420	2.3498
50.00	5.2690	-4.9374	-6.1009	$7-1271$	8.6190	-11.7341	3.3483
100(00)	6.7730	-6.3776	-7.8865	9.6088	11.1540	-15.1951	4.3385
$200-00$	8.6661	-8.1944	-10.1394	12:3614	14.3528	-19.5635	5.5887
500.00	11.9391	-11.3457	-14.0475	17:1337	19.8993	-27138	7.7569
100000	15.1652	-14.4658	-17.9175	21:8536	25.3852	-34.6297	9.9010

Table 1 continued

Schmidt numbers are given in Tables 2 and 3, respectively. These are calculated from equations (15) and (17) (see, for example, Acrivos $\lceil 8 \rceil$:

For $Sc \rightarrow c$,

$$
\frac{\partial c_i}{\partial y}\Big|_{y=0} = \frac{c_{\lambda} - c_0}{\Gamma(\frac{4}{3})(9D)^{1/3}} \Big|_{y=0} \frac{\sqrt{[\beta(x)]}}{(\sqrt{\beta}) dx} \Big|_{y=3} \tag{15}
$$

where

$$
\beta = \frac{\hat{t}v_x}{\hat{c}v}\bigg|_{v=0} \tag{16}
$$

For $S_C \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\left.\frac{\partial c_i}{\partial y}\right|_{y=0} = \frac{c_x - c_0}{\sqrt{\pi D}} \frac{U(x)}{\left(\int\limits_0^x U dx\right)^{1/2}} \tag{17}
$$

Note that when the Schmidt number is small, it is still necessary for the product of the Reynolds number and the Schmidt number to be large in order for the boundary-layer form of the equation of convective diffusion [equation (3)] to be applicable. Otherwise the diffusion layer is too thick, and more of the outer Euler solution is needed than that represented by $U(x)$.

The only peculiar feature of the results is that the masstransfer coefficients, except $\Theta'_0(0)$, approach the low Sc asymptote from above rather than below. For many of the coefficients the low Schmidt number asymptote is hardly applicable even at $Sc = 0.01$, and it was necessary to extend the numerical calculations to $Sc = 10^{-6}$ in order to verify these asymptotes. Therefore a simple interpolation between the low and high Sc asymptotes such as that suggested by Acrivos [S] appears to become more inaccurate for the higher coefficients.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by the United States Atomic Energy Commission.

REFERENCES

- 1. HERMANN SCHLICHTING, *Boundary Layer Theory.* McGraw-Hill, New York (1960).
- 2. W. E. STEWART, Sc.D. Thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology (1950). See also H. S. MICKLEY, R. C. Ross, 8. A. L. **SQUYERS** and W. E. STEWART, NACA Technical Note 3208 (1954).
- 3. DONALD R. OLANDER, Rotating disk flow and mass transfer, J. *Heat Transfer 84, 185 (1962).*
- A. ACRIVOS, The asymptotic form of the laminar boundary-layer mass-transfer rate for large interfacial velocities, J. *Fluid Mech. 12, 337-357 (1962).*
- **HENRY GBRTLER, A** new series for the calculation of steady laminar boundary layer flows, *J. Math. Mech. 6. 146 (1957).*
- 6. ARTHUR N. TIFFORD, Heat transfer and frictional effects in laminar boundary layers, Part 4: Universal series solutions, WADC TR 53-288, Part IV (August 1954).
- N. CURLE, *The Laminar Boundarv Laver Eauations.* Clarendon Press, Oxford (1962).
- 8. A. ACRIVOS, On the solution of the convection equation in laminar boundary layer flows, *Chem. Engng Sci.* **17, 457465 (1962).**